

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/776,261	02/12/2004	Masanori Tomioka	2004-0206A	2004-0206A 4862		
513	7590 03/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	TH, LIND & PONAC	PICKARD,	PICKARD, ALISON K			
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			3676			
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/08/2009	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/776,261		TOMIOKA, MASANORI		7			
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Alison K. Pic		3676					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event n. a reply within the statuto criod will apply and will e tatute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be tin ry minimum of thirty (30) day xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tition to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·							
2a) <u></u> ☐									
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ☒ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	5.0				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal P Other:	atent Application (PTC	D-152)	1			

Application/Control Number: 10/776,261

Art Unit: 3676

# DETAILED ACTION

### **Priority**

- 1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 2-12-03. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Japanese application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent No. 2001-215133 (JP '133) (see Ohtsuki 6,637,754 as US equivalent).

JP '133 discloses a sealing device comprising a first seal element 12 and a second seal element 11. Each element is metal and has an L-shape. One element 12 has a seal portion 16. The other 11 has a magnet-based encoder 14. The device includes a coating layer 20 (see 37 in US case) on both sides of the seal elements. The sides of the seal elements have a rugged surface within the claimed range (see col. 24, line 21 through col. 25, line 65 of US case).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/776,261 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

5. Claims 2, 3, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '133.

JP '133 does not appear to state that the coating lubricates or is selected from the group in the claims. Using such materials is considered a design choice. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use is not considered inventive. See In re Leshin 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use one of the materials set forth in the claims as a matter of choice in design.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Vignotto '420, for example, discloses the invention of at least claim 1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 703-305-0882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30), with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,261

Art Unit: 3676

261 Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alison K. Pickard Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676